Data Applicable to Standard R1.3 Instructional Practice (InTASC 6, 7, and 8; MTS 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7

Missouri Educator Evaluation System (MEES) - Standards 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7

Overall and Disaggregated Data is located in R1.1.5MEES Template

Unit Mean Scores - University Supervisor

Missouri Teacher Standard	Fall 2020 (N=69)		Spring 2021 (N=173)		Fall 2021 (N=72)		Spring 2022 (N=190)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1 Student Engagement in Subject Matter	3.5	0.50	3.4	0.50	3.4	0.68	3.3	0.57
2 Differentiated Lesson Design	3.3	0.49	3.2	0.47	3.3	0.62	3.2	0.53
3 Implementation of Curriculum Standards	3.3	0.54	3.2	0.47	3.3	3.76	3.3	0.56
4 Student Engagement in Critical Thinking	3.2	0.60	3.2	0.51	3.2	0.83	3.3	0.57
5 Classroom Management	3.4	0.50	3.3	0.49	3.4	0.62	3.3	0.59
6 Verbal and Non-Verbal Communication	3.4	0.48	3.3	0.49	3.4	0.58	3.2	0.54
7 Effective Use of Assessment	3.1	0.43	3.2	0.52	3.2	0.65	3.2	0.51

Unit Mean Scores - Cooperating Teacher*

Offic Mean Ocores - Gooperating Teacher								
Missouri Teacher Standard	Fall 2020 (N=65)		Spring 2021 (N=168)		Fall 2021 (N=61)		Spring 2022	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1 Student Engagement in Subject Matter	3.4	0.50	3.3	0.58	3.4	0.60	3.3	0.57
2 Differentiated Lesson Design	3.2	0.58	3.3	0.51	3.4	0.62	3.2	0.53
3 Implementation of Curriculum Standards	3.3	0.61	3.2	0.60	3.3	0.68	3.3	0.56
4 Student Engagement in Critical Thinking	3.2	0.63	3.2	0.60	3.2	0.63	3.3	0.57
5 Classroom Management	3.5	0.54	3.3	0.65	3.5	0.56	3.3	0.59
6 Verbal and Non-Verbal Communication	3.3	0.58	3.3	0.60	3.5	0.58	3.2	0.54
7 Effective Use of Assessment	3.3	0.51	3.2	0.53	3.4	0.62	3.2	0.51

^{*}Alternative Certification candidates serving as the teacher of record do not have a cooperating teacher.

Unit Mean Scores - Student Self-Assessment

Missouri Teacher Standard	Fall 2020 (N=69)		Spring 2021 (N=173)		Fall 2021 (N=72)		Spring 2022	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1 Student Engagement in Subject Matter	3.4	0.52	3.2	0.48	3.3	0.49	3.2	0.50
2 Differentiated Lesson Design	3.4	0.53	3.2	0.52	3.3	0.56	3.2	0.54
3 Implementation of Curriculum Standards	3.4	0.56	3.2	0.53	3.2	0.55	3.1	0.58
4 Student Engagement in Critical Thinking	3.2	0.52	3.2	0.55	3.2	0.49	3.1	0.62
5 Classroom Management	3.6	0.53	3.4	0.62	3.6	0.55	3.4	0.56
6 Verbal and Non-Verbal Communication	3.4	0.51	3.3	0.53	3.3	0.51	3.3	0.53
7 Effective Use of Assessment	3.2	0.57	3.2	0.57	3.2	0.52	3.1	0.55

Revised Student Teacher Work Sample - Lesson Plan

Overall and Disaggregated Data is located in R1.1.7STWS Template

First Required - Fall 2021

	Lesson Plan Components	Measurable objectives aligned to standards	Lesson Sequence	Resources	Differentiation	Accommodati ons and Modifications	Assessment
	Points Possible	3	11	2	3	3	5
Fall 2021 N=66	Mean Score	2.95	10.5	1.98	2.77	2.86	4.70
Spring '22 N=177	Mean Score	2.86	10.37	1.94	2.77	2.84	4.64

Student Teacher Work Sample - Analysis, Reflection, Self-Evaluation Original Student Teacher Work Sample

		- 0				
	Analysis and interpretation of whole class data	Analysis and interpretation of focus student data	Evidence of impact on student learning	Instructional strategy based on contextual factor	Self- evaluation	Implication for future teaching and PD plans
Possible	26	15	10	10	10	10
Fall 2020 N=65	24.9	14.5	9.7	9.6	9.8	9.8
Spring 2021 N=168	25.0	14.64	9.73	9.70	9.87	9.79

Student Teacher Work Sample - Analysis, Reflection, Self-Evaluation Revised Student Teacher Work Sample

	Component	Analysis of Data	Focus Students	Evidence of Impact	Instructional Strategy Based on Contextual Factors	Self-Evaluation	Professional Development Implications
	Points Possible	16	8	5	5	9	9
Fall 2021 N=66	Mean Score	15.0	7.61	4.89	4.77	8.42	8.35
Spring '22 N=177	Mean Score	14.79	7.49	4.74	4.72	8.34	8.47

Student Teacher Work Sample - Use of Technology to Enhance Instruction Revised Student Teacher Work Sample First Used in Fall 2021

		Technology
	Points Possible	5
Fall 2021 N=66	Mean Score	4.83
Spring 2022 N=177	Mean Score	4.74

Educator Disposition Assessment

Overall and Disaggregated Data is located inR1.1.6EDA Template

		Disposit	tion 5							
	De	Demonstrates preparedness in teaching and learning								
	5a	5b	5c	5d						
	Score of 2: Accepts constructive feedback as evidenced by implementation of feedback as needed.	Score of 2 :Learns and adjusts from experience and reflection as evidenced by improvements in performance.	Score of 2:Comes to class prepared and with all needed materials.	Score of 2: Alters lessons in progress when needed as evidenced by ability to change plan mid-lesson to overcome the deficits.						
Fall 2020	1.96	1.94	1.96	1.92						
Spring 2021	1.99	1.97	1.98	1.93						

Data Supporting R1.3 Instructional Practice Page 4

Fall 2021	1.96	2.00	1.96	1.93
Spring 2022	1.96	1.94	1.96	1.91

Student Teacher Exit Survey

Overall and Disaggregated Data is located in R1.1.8ST Exit Survey Template

		Fall 2020 N=30	Spring 2021 N=163	Fall 2022 N=56	Spring 2022
Standard 2 Learning, Growth, and	I was prepared to design lessons that include differentiated instruction.	4.63	4.44	4.23	4.39
Development	I was prepared to implement instruction based on a student's IEP.	4.20	3.90	3.70	3.73
	I was prepared to modify instruction for English language learners.	3.93	3.64	3.38	3.52
	I was prepared to modify instruction for gifted learners.	4.07	3.90	3.39	3.68
	I was prepared to create lesson plans to engage all learners.	4.63	4.47	4.36	4.34
Standard 3 Curriculum	I was prepared to deliver lessons based on curriculum standards.	4.43	4.56	4.54	4.52
Implementation	I was prepared to deliver lessons for diverse learners.	4.40	4.26	4.29	4.29
Standard 4 Critical Thinking	I was prepared to implement a variety of instructional strategies.	4.60	4.52	4.48	4.43
Citical Tilliking	I was prepared to engage students in critical thinking.	4.53	4.45	4.34	4.27
	I was prepared to model critical thinking and problem solving.	4.60	4.48	4.45	4.34
Standard 5 Positive Classroom	I was prepared to create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement.	4.67	4.59	4.55	4.48
Environment	I was prepared to use a variety of classroom management strategies.	4.60	4.46	4.45	4.28
	I was prepared to manage a variety of discipline issues.	3.93	3.87	3.73	3.67
	I was prepared to motivate my students to learn	4.47	4.53	4.30	4.33
	I was prepared to keep my students on task.	4.78	4.33	4.27	4.16
	I was prepared to foster positive student relationships.	4.80	4.75	4.75	4.68
	I was prepared to facilitate smooth transitions for my students.	4.47	4.39	4.38	4.27
Standard 6 Effective Communication	I was prepared to use effective communication strategies to foster learning.	4.47	4.56	4.5	4.40
	I was prepared to effectively communicate with parents.	3.87	3.71	3.71	3.60
	I was prepared to effectively communicate with all staff.	4.50	4.34	4.41	4.22
	I was prepared to promote respect for diverse cultures, genders, and	4.63	4.59	4.48	4.45

Data Supporting I	R1.3 Instructional Practice		I	Page 5	
	intellectual/physical abilities.				
	I was prepared to use technology as a communication tool.	4.60	4.45	4.43	4.37
	I was prepared to enhance students' skills in using technology as a communication tool.	4.53	4.40	4.27	4.25
Standard 7 Student	I was prepared to use assessments to evaluate learning.	4.67	4.51	4.52	4.43
Assessment and Data Analysis	I was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate learning.	4.53	4.42	4.39	4.30
Data / marysis	I was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction.	4.53	4.42	4.38	4.22
	I was prepared to help students set learning goals based on assessment results.	4.50	4.23	4.25	4.13

4.57

4.70

4.36

4.39

4.36

4.50

4.12

4.33

I was prepared to work with colleagues to set learning goals using

I was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning.

assessment results.

Technology