

# UCM Scholars Symposium

## Poster Judging Rubric



UNIVERSITY OF  
CENTRAL  
MISSOURI

	1★	2★★	3★★★	4★★★★	5★★★★★
<b>Category 1: Research Organization and Clarity of Scholarly Work</b>	Poster is out of order for disciplinary conventions; missing necessary sections.	Poster is slightly disorganized and not fully clear for disciplinary conventions.	Poster is mostly organized and understandable; sequence is evident and mostly clear for disciplinary conventions.	Poster is organized and includes all necessary sections for disciplinary conventions.	Poster's organization and logical progression provide enhanced clarity of research for disciplinary conventions.
<b>Category 2: Significance, Authenticity, &amp; Impact</b>	Significance of work not explained; inappropriate research activity used; future impact not addressed.	Significance of work is slightly meaningful; little use of appropriate research; future impact minimally addressed.	Significance of work is acknowledged; some appropriate research activity used; future impact mentioned.	Significance of work is evident; most research activity used appropriately; impact of research is evident.	Significance of work is highly evident; appropriate research used; impact of research is highly evident.
<b>Category 3: Discussion, Application, &amp; Summary</b>	Fails to explain findings and/or meaning of research; no applications or conclusion included.	Little explanation of findings/implications; applications not fully addressed; little original thought in conclusion.	Findings included, but not clear; some applications addressed; summary not fully addressed.	Findings, study limitations and applications addressed; independent conclusion included.	Detailed findings thoroughly explained; study limitations and applications fully addressed; includes comprehensive conclusion.
<b>Category 4: Quality of Poster (Grammar, Visual Appearance, &amp; Flow)</b>	Poster is overly cluttered; images, figures, etc. are not engaging; numerous grammatical errors.	Poster is slightly crowded; distracting use of images, figures, etc.; many grammatical errors.	Poster is mostly clear and readable; straightforward images, figures, etc. used; some grammatical errors.	Poster is clear; engaging images, figures, etc. used; few grammatical errors.	Poster is easily readable; engaging images, figures, etc. and adds to understanding of poster; no grammatical errors.